

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,751	C	06/27/2003	Pietro Arturo Giovanni Bernasconi	Bernasconi 4 (LCNT/125269	7188	
26291	7590 01/26/2005			EXAMINER		
MOSER, PA	TTERS	ON & SHERII	LEPISTO, RYAN A			
595 SHREWS	SBURY A	AVE, STE 100				
FIRST FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBU		07702		2883		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office	Action Summary	10/608,751	BERNASCONI, PIETRO ARTURO GIOVANNI				
Omco	Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ryan Lepisto	2883				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time rr efter SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Inay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we have the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	ne 2003,	:				
2a) This action		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clair	ns						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1:	-17 is/are pending in the application.		:				
	above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.	<u> </u>				
·	-17 is/are allowed.						
_	-3 is/are rejected.		•				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u>	-6 is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specific	cation is objected to by the Examine	r.	:				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacemen	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)⊡ The oath o	r declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Copi	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority documents ified copies of the priority documents ies of the certified copies of the priorication from the International Bureau ched detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Reference		4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail D		6) Other:	T ALOTE APPRICABOLI (F 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/608,751 Page 2

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The reference listed as C1 in the applicant's information disclosure statement (27 June 2003) has not been submitted to the office for consideration, therefore the reference has been lined through as not being in conformance and not considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bernasconi et al (US 6,549,698 B2) (Bernasconi). Bernasconi teaches a method for increasing the transmission efficiency of a PxQ arrayed waveguide grating (AWG) (Fig. 1, column 1 lines 45-68) comprising arranging the spacing and position of the P inputs ports (104) and the Q output ports (105) of the AWG so as to increase a signal transmission coefficient from each of the P input ports (104) to the Q output ports (105) (claim 3) wherein each of the P input ports (104) comprises Q wavelengths (claim 1) that are evenly spaced in frequency (column 6 lines 34-36).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,751 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7-17 are allowed.

With regard to claim 7: The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: This claim is allowable over the prior art of record because the latter, either alone or in combination, does not disclose nor render obvious a AWG wherein an initial position of the first input port is chosen to be near the center of the AWG and an initial position of the remaining inputs are defined by images of the wavelengths dispersed by the central output port, a final position of the inputs defined by a variation of the defined initial positions of the input ports so that maximum of each one of the respective angular spreads in minimized and wherein the position of the output ports are positioned in the center of their respective final angular spreads of images of the wavelengths expected to converge for each port, in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations.

With regard to claims 8-17: These claims are allowed because they are dependent of the limitations of claim 7.

4. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

These claims would be allowable over the prior art of record if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims because the latter, either alone or in combination, does not disclose nor render obvious a method of increasing the transmission efficiency of a AWG comprising the an

Art Unit: 2883

initial position of the first input port that is chosen to be near the center of the AWG and an initial position of the remaining inputs are defined by images of the wavelengths dispersed by the central output port, a final position of the inputs defined by a variation of the defined initial positions of the input ports so that maximum of each one of the respective angular spreads in minimized and wherein the position of the output ports are positioned in the center of their respective final angular spreads of images of the wavelengths expected to converge for each port, in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Bernasconi et al (US 6,381,383 B1) is related to the Bernasconi references used in this action.
- Nicolas (US 200/0089721 A1) teaches an AWG that serves as a multi-order cross-connect where output waveguide spacing is critical.
- All of the following references teach AWGs wherein the spacing of the output waveguides play critical role in system specifications and characteristics:
 Dragone (US 5,136,671), Wang et al (US 5,745,612), Mestric (US 5,822,481),
 Dragone (US 6,058,233), Lin et al (US 6,181,849 B1), Bergmann et al (US 6,351,583 B1), Nara et al (US 6,490,395 B1), Bulthuis et al (US 2003/0063858

Art Unit: 2883

A1), Dingel (US 6,597,841 B1), McGreer et al (US 6,678,446 B1), Bulthuis et al (US 6,768,842 B2), Tabuchi et al (US 6,810,167 B2), Kaneko (US 6,810,177 B2).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Lepisto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAR

Ryan Lepisto

Art Unit 2883

Date: 1/18/05

Frank Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Frank Il Fort